SHORT REPORTS

www.nature.com/onc

BRAF-V600E is not involved in the colorectal tumorigenesis of HNPCC in patients with functional *MLH1* and *MSH2* genes

Enric Domingo¹, Renée C Niessen², Carla Oliveira³, Pia Alhopuro⁴, Catia Moutinho³, Eloi Espín¹, Manel Armengol¹, Rolf H Sijmons⁵, Jan H Kleibeuker⁶, Raquel Seruca³, Lauri A Aaltonen⁴, Kohzoh Imai⁷, Hiroyuki Yamamoto⁷, Simó Schwartz Jr^{*,1} and Robert MW Hofstra²

¹Molecular Oncology and Aging Research, Centre d'Investigacions en Bioquímica i Biologia Molecular (CIBBIM), Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Passeig Vall d'Hebron 119-129, Barcelona 08035, Spain; ²Department of Medical Genetics, University of Groningen, A Deusinglaan 4, 9713 AW Groningen, The Netherlands; ³Instituto de Patologia e Imunologia Molecular da Universidade do Porto (IPATIMUP), 4200-465 Porto, Portugal; ⁴Department of Medical Genetics, Biomedicum Helsinki, University of Helsinki, 00014 Helsinki, Finland; ⁵Department of Clinical Genetics, University Hospital Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; ⁶Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands; ⁷First Department of Internal Medicine, Sapporo Medical University, S.1, W.16, Chuo-ku, Sapporo 060-8543, Japan

Recently, it was shown that the oncogenic activation of BRAF, a member of the RAS/RAF family of kinases, by the V600E mutation is characteristic for sporadic colon tumors with microsatellite instability. Further, it was shown to associate with the silencing of the mismatch repair (MMR) gene MLH1 by hypermethylation. Moreover, BRAF mutations proved to be absent in tumors from hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome (HNPCC) families with germline mutations in the MMR genes MLH1 and MSH2. These data suggest that the oncogenic activation of BRAF is involved only in sporadic colorectal tumorigenesis. In order to further support this hypothesis, we have extended the analysis of the BRAF gene to a different subset of HNPCC families without germline mutations in MLH1 and MSH2. BRAF-V600E mutations were analysed by automatic sequencing in 38 tumors from HNPCC families with germline mutations in the MSH6 gene and also in HNPCC (suspected) families that do not have mutations in the MMR genes MLH1, MSH2 and MSH6. All patients belong to different families. No mutations were detected in 14 tumors from HNPCC patients with germline mutations in MSH6. Further, no mutations of BRAF were found in tumors from 23 MMR-negative families, from which 13 fulfilled the Amsterdam criteria (HNPCC) and 10 were suspected for HNPCC as they were positive for the Bethesda criteria. Overall, our data reinforce the concept that BRAF is not involved in the colorectal tumorigenesis of HNPCC. The detection of a positive BRAF-V600E mutation in a colorectal cancer suggests a sporadic origin of the disease and the absence of germline alterations of MLH1, MSH2 and also of MSH6. These findings have a potential impact in the genetic testing for HNPCC diagnostics and suggest a potential use of BRAF as exclusion criteria for HNPCC or as a molecular marker of sporadic cancer.

Oncogene (2005) **24**, 3995–3998. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1208569 Published online 21 March 2005

Keywords: HNPCC; BRAF; MSH6

Activation of the RAS/RAF pathway is the most common oncogenic event in colorectal tumorigenesis. In addition to mutations in KRAS, a V600E hotspot mutation in the exon 15 of BRAF, a member of the RAF family of kinases, has been recently reported in colorectal tumors with high microsatellite instability (MSI-H), and was found associated to a defective mismatch repair (MMR) system (Davies et al., 2002; Rajagopalan et al., 2002; Oliveira et al., 2003). Further, it was shown that the BRAF-V600E mutation (previously named V599E) is mainly found in proximal colorectal tumors in which the MSI-H phenotype is caused by hypermethylation of the MMR gene MLH1 (Deng et al., 2004; Domingo et al., 2004a). Tumors arising in the hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer syndrome (HNPCC) are preferentially found in the proximal colon and show MSI due to germline defects in genes from the MMR system, mainly MLH1, MSH2 and MSH6 (Marra and Boland, 1995; Miyaki et al., 1997). Therefore, mutations in BRAF, as an alternative oncogenic event to KRAS activation, were expected to play a role in the tumorigenesis of HNPCC. However, recent data have provided evidence that BRAF is not involved in tumors from HNPCC patients with germline mutations in MLH1 and MSH2, suggesting that the oncogenic capability of BRAF in MSI colon cancer might be somehow related to the epigenetic mechanisms involved in the inactivation of the MLH1 gene and not to the germline MMR defect (Wang et al., 2003; Deng et al., 2004; Domingo et al., 2004b). Owing to its absence in the MLH1 and MSH2 germline mutationpositive families, a potential use of BRAF-V600E in the molecular diagnostics of HNPCC has been suggested (Wang et al., 2003; Domingo et al., 2004b). Nonetheless,

^{*}Correspondence: S Schwartz Jr; E-mail: sschwartz@vhebron.net Received 19 October 2004; revised 25 January 2005; accepted 28 January 2005; published online 21 March 2005

BRAF in non-MLH1–MSH2 HNPCC families E Domingo et al

3996

Table 1 Features of HNPCC tumors found negative for the BRAF-V600E mutation

Patient	MMR^{a}	Mutation	Location	MSI	Age	Criteria ^b
Colorectal tun	nors:					
Y88	MSH6	Truncating (3263insT)	Transverse	MSI-H	38	_
Y701	MSH6	Truncating (650insT)	Rectum	MSI-H	53	_
Y708°	MSH6	Truncating (2672delT;2674delT)	Descendent	MSI-H	55	_
Y725	MSH6	Truncating (650insT)	Left-sided ^d	MSI-H	83	+
Y1	MSH6	Truncating (Glu1258X)	Rectum	MSI-L	55	_
Y37	MSH6	Truncating (650insT)	Descendent	MSI-L	59	_
Y241	MSH6	Missense (Ala355Val)	Descendent	MSI-H	65	_
Y105	MSH6	Missense (Ile725Met)	Transverse	MSI-L	36	_
Y243	MSH6	Missense (Gln522Arg)	Rectum	MSI-L	43	_
Y319	MSH6	Missense (Pro1087Ser)	Rectum	MSI-L	39	_
Y194			Rectum	MSI-H	58	+
Y249	_		Transverse	MSI-H	48	+
CCH1	_		Ascendent	MSI-H	46	+
CCH2	_		Cecum	MSI-H	47	+
CCH3	_		Descendent	MSI-H	49	+
CCH4	_		Transverse	MSI-H	38	+
CCH5	_		Cecum	MSI-H	42	+
CCH6	_		Descendent	MSI-H	47	+
CCH7			Ascendent	MSI-H	31	+
CCH8	_		Cecum	MSI-H	45	+
CCH9			Cecum	MSI-H	43	_
CCH11	_		Transverse	MSI-H	50	_
CCH12	_		Cecum	MSI-H	46	_
CCH14	_		Transverse	MSI-H	48	_
CCH15	_		Cecum	MSI-H	55	_
CCH16			Cecum	MSI-H	44	_
CCF265			Ascendent	MSI-H	82	_
CCF910			Cecum	MSI-H	81	_
Y74			Rectosigmoid	MSI-L	48	+
Y168			Sigmoid	MSI-L	35	+
CCH10			Ascendent	MSI-L MSI-L	62	_
CCH13	_	_	Ascendent	MSI-L MSI-L	44	_
centis			Ascendent	WISI-L	44	
Other tumors:						
Y1	MSH6	Truncating (Glu1258X)	Pyelum	MSI-H	63	_
Y37	MSH6	Truncating (650insT)	Endometrium	MSI-L	65	_
Y605	MSH6	Truncating (650insT)	Endometrium	MSI-L	46	+
Y751	MSH6	Truncating (650insT)	Duodenum	MSI-L	51	_
Y77	_		Duodenum	MSI-H	54	+

^aDefective MMR gene. Negative cases do not show mutations in MLH1, MSH2 or MSH6 genes. Tumor samples were analysed for germline mutations in the MLH1, MSH2 and MSH6 genes by several laboratory routines and mutations verified by automatic sequencing. In some cases, large deletions of MLH1 and MSH2 were detected by Southern blotting or using alternative strategies (Wahlberg et al., 1999; Gille et al., 2002; Di Fiore et al., 2004). IH for MLH1 (clone G168-728, BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA), MSH2 (clone FE11, Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA), MSH6 (clone 44, Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, KY, USA), PMS1 (sc-615, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and PMS2 (sc-618, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) were also performed. Positive cases fulfill the Amsterdam criteria and negative cases are from families showing an HNPCC-like pedigree in which at least one first-degree relative is affected by an early-onset colorectal cancer. "This patient also showed a synchronous MSI-H tumor in the cecum that was positive for BRAF-V600E and showed MLH1 hypermethylation. ^dThis tumor is leftsided, although its exact location is unknown. Tumors were obtained from the University Hospital Groningen (Groningen, The Netherlands), Sapporo Medical University (Sapporo, Japan) and also from several different hospitals in Finland. Sample collection was carried out in accordance with the previously established ethical protocols from each one of the participating institutions, and the respective ethics committees approved the study. Genomic DNA was extracted with phenol-chloroform according to standard procedures. Microsatellite instability was analysed according to the international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability, using various panels of dinucleotide and mononucleotide repeat sequences as described previously. (Boland et al., 1998). Accordingly, tumors were classified as MSI-H or MSI-low (MSI-L) when showing high or low levels of instability, respectively. The analysis of BRAF was performed by automatic sequencing. The fragment encompassing exon 15 was amplified by PCR in all carcinoma samples. Primer sequences and PCR conditions were based on those reported previously (Davies et al., 2002). Genomic DNA (25–100 ng) was amplified by PCR using the following cycling conditions: 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 60°C and 45 s at 72°C for 35 cycles. PCR products were purified and sequenced on an ABI Prism 377 Automatic sequencer (Perkin-Elmer, Foster City, CA, USA) using the ABI Prism Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit (Perkin-Elmer). Two-sided Fisher's exact test was used for statistical analysis. We have previously published 40% (82/206) of BRAF-V600E mutations in sporadic colorectal MSI tumors (Domingo et al., 2004b). According to this, our data suggest that BRAF mutations do not associate with HNPCC cases with germline MSH6 mutations nor with HNPCC cases negative for MLH1, MSH2 or MSH6 mutations (P<0.001)

approximately 5% of cases show germline mutations in the *MSH6* gene (Miyaki *et al.*, 1997; Berends *et al.*, 2002) and about half of all families clinically defined as HNPCC (Rodriguez-Bigas *et al.*, 1997; Vasen *et al.*, 1999) do not have mutations in any of the known MMR genes, and for these cases it is yet not known whether BRAF-V600E might play a role in tumorigenesis. Here we report data that support the hypothesis that BRAF is not involved in the tumorigenesis of the HNPCC-related tumors considering HNPCC as diagnosed on both

molecular, by the presence of a germline mutation in a MMR gene, or on clinical grounds, fulfilling the revised Amsterdam criteria. Our data suggest a potential use of *BRAF-V600E* as the exclusion criterion for HNPCC or as a molecular marker of sporadic cancer.

We have analysed 38 tumors from two different subsets of HNPCC (suspected) patients: those that harbor an *MSH6* germline defect, and patients from families fulfilling the clinical criteria but in whom no *MLH1*, *MSH2* or *MSH6* germline mutations could be identified (see Table 1). All patients belong to different families. As shown in Table 1, the analysed cases either fulfilled the Amsterdam criteria or were positive for specific criteria from the Bethesda guidelines (HNPCClike) (Vasen *et al.*, 1991; Rodriguez-Bigas *et al.*, 1997; Umar *et al.*, 2004). The indexed patients of these HNPCC-like families have early-onset colorectal cancer and at least a first-degree relative with a HNPCC-related tumor (Umar *et al.*, 2004).

We have recently suggested the introduction of the BRAF-V600E mutation screening in the molecular diagnostic protocols for HNPCC as a low-cost effective strategy that allows simplifying the genetic testing of HNPCC patients (Domingo et al., 2004b). The reported absence of V600E mutations in HNPCC cases that harbor germline mutations in the MLH1 and MSH2 genes (Wang et al., 2003; Deng et al., 2004; Domingo et al., 2004b) predicted a potential use of BRAF as a prescreening tool in HNPCC as only BRAF-V600Enegative cases need to be screened for mutations in the MMR genes MLH1 and MSH2. Further, it suggests that BRAF mutations are not involved in HNPCC tumorigenesis, but are restricted to the sporadic cases. However, it is unknown yet whether BRAF could be involved in HNPCC tumors without germline mutations in MLH1 and MSH2. In fact, almost half of the HNPCC families show no mutations in the MMR genes (Rodriguez-Bigas et al., 1997; Vasen et al., 1999) and about 5% of cases are due to germline mutations of the MSH6 gene (Miyaki et al., 1997; Wijnen et al., 1999; Berends et al., 2002).

To answer these questions, *BRAF-V600E* mutations were screened in 12 colon tumors derived from patients from HNPCC families that fulfilled the Amsterdam criteria but did not show germline mutations in *MLH1*, *MSH2* or *MSH6*. In none of these tumors, the *BRAF-V600E* mutations were identified. In all, 10 of these tumors were classified as MSI-H and two as MSI-L, according to the international Bethesda criteria (Boland *et al.*, 1998). An additional MSI-H tumor from the duodenum of an HNPCC family positive for Amsterdam criteria was also found negative for *BRAF* mutations. Furthermore, no mutations were detected in 10 colorectal tumors, eight MSI-H and two MSI-L, respectively, from suspected HNPCC families not fulfilling the Amsterdam criteria, but being positive for

References

clinical criteria suggestive of familial cancer. Also, we have extended our analysis to colon tumors, five MSI-H and five MSI-L, from 10 HNPCC(like) families showing germline mutations in MSH6. Of these families, six proved to have truncating and four missense mutations of this gene (Table 1). Regarding these families, one was positive for Amsterdam criteria and nine were suspected HNPCC, according to the clinical criteria above described. We did not detect BRAF-V600E mutations in these cases. Two additional tumors from the endometrium and pyelum from two patients of these families, as well as two extracolonic tumors from the duodenum and endometrium from two additional families showing germline truncating mutations in MSH6, were also negative for BRAF mutations (Table 1).

Interestingly, in one of the analysed patients with a truncating MSH6 germline mutation, a synchronous MSI-H colorectal cancer in the cecum was found positive for the BRAF-V600E mutation. This tumor, however, showed an absence of MLH1 by immunohistochemistry (IH) and hypermethylation of the MLH1 promoter. This finding is best explained by the significant reported association of BRAF-V600E with the hypermethylation of MLH1 as seen in a high frequency of sporadic tumors (Deng *et al.*, 2004; Domingo *et al.*, 2004a), a phenomenon not directly linked to the germline mutation of MSH6.

Overall, we did not detect significant BRAF-V600E mutations in any of these HNPCC subsets, reinforcing the idea that BRAF is not involved in HNPCC tumorigenesis, independently of the MMR gene defect and independent of the presence of high or low MSI phenotypes. These results suggest a potential use of the BRAF mutation as a marker of sporadic colorectal cancer.

Therefore, we suggest that detection of a positive *BRAF-V600E* mutation in a colorectal cancer is most likely suggesting a sporadic origin of the disease and the absence of germline alterations of *MLH1*, *MSH2* and also of *MSH6*. Further, these findings might also have a potential impact in the gene testing for HNPCC diagnostics.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grants from the Dutch Cancer Society (RUG 1997-1544 and RUG 2002-2678); the Spanish Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias (FIS 01/1350), Spain; the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan, and by a Grant-in-Aid for the Third Term Comprehensive 10-Year Strategy for Cancer Control from the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan; and the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (POCTI/SAU-OBS/56921/2004), Portugal. ED was supported by a fellowship from the Spanish Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias.

A, Buys CH, van der Zee AG, Hofstra RM and Kleibeuker JH. (2002). *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **70**, 26–37.

Berends MJ, Wu Y, Sijmons RH, Mensink RG, van der Sluis T, Hordijk-Hos JM, de Vries EG, Hollema H, Karrenbeld

- Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, Sidransky D, Eshleman JR, Burt RW, Meltzer SJ, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Fodde R, Ranzani GN and Srivastava SA. (1998). *Cancer Res.*, **58**, 5248–5257.
- Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S, Teague J, Woffendin H, Garnett MJ, Bottomley W, Davis N, Dicks E, Ewing R, Floyd Y, Gray K, Hall S, Hawes R, Hughes J, Kosmidou V, Menzies A, Mould C, Parker A, Stevens C, Watt S, Hooper S, Wilson R, Jayatilake H, Gusterson BA, Cooper C, Shipley J, Hargrave D, Pritchard-Jones K, Maitland N, Chenevix-Trench G, Riggins GJ, Bigner DD, Palmieri G, Cossu A, Flanagan A, Nicholson A, Ho JWC, Leung SY, Yuen ST, Weber BL, Seigler HF, Darrow TL, Paterson H, Marais R, Marshall CJ, Wooster R, Stratton MR and Futreal PA. (2002). *Nature*, 417, 949–954.
- Deng G, Bell I, Crawley S, Gum J, Terdiman JP, Allen BA, Truta B, Sleisenger MH and Kim YS. (2004). *Clin. Cancer Res.*, **10**, 191–195.
- Di Fiore F, Charbonnier F, Martin C, Frerot S, Olschwang S, Wang Q, Boisson C, Buisine MP, Nilbert M, Lindblom A and Frebourg T. (2004). J. Med. Genet., 41, 18–20.
- Domingo E, Espín E, Armengol M, Oliveira C, Pinto M, Duval A, Brennetot C, Seruca R, Hamelin R, Yamamoto H and Schwartz Jr S. (2004a). *Genes Chrom. Cancer*, **39**, 138,142.
- Domingo E, Laiho P, Ollikainen M, Pinto M, Wang L, French AJ, Westra J, Frebourg T, Espín E, Armengol M, Hamelin R, Yamamoto H, Hofstra RMW, Seruca R, Lindblom A, Peltomäki P, Thibodeau SN, Aaltonen LA and Schwartz Jr S. (2004b). J. Med. Genet., **41**, 664–668.
- Gille JJ, Hogervorst FB, Pals G, Wijnen JT, van Schooten RJ, Dommering CJ, Meijer GA, Craanen ME, Nederlof PM, de Jong D, McElgunn CJ, Schouten JP and Menko FH. (2002). *Br. J. Cancer*, 87, 892–897.

- Marra G and Boland CR. (1995). J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 87, 1114–1125.
- Miyaki M, Konishi M, Tanaka K, Kikuchi-Yanoshita R, Muraoka M, Yasuno M, Igari T, Koike M, Chiba M and Mori T. (1997). *Nat. Genet.*, **17**, 271–272.
- Oliveira C, Pinto M, Duval A, Brennetot C, Domingo E, Espín E, Armengol M, Yamamoto H, Hamelin R, Seruca R and Schwartz Jr S. (2003). *Oncogene*, **22**, 9192–9196.
- Rajagopalan H, Bardelli A, Lengauer C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B and Velculescu VE. (2002). *Nature*, **418**, 934.
- Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Boland CR, Hamilton SR, Henson DE, Jass JR, Khan PM, Lynch H, Perucho M, Smyrk T, Sobin L and Srivastava SA. (1997). J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 89, 1758–1762.
- Umar A, Boland CR, Terdiman JP, Syngal S, de la Chapelle A, Ruschoff J, Fishel R, Lindor NM, Burgart LJ, Hamelin R, Hamilton SR, Hiatt RA, Jass J, Lindblom A, Lynch HT, Peltomaki P, Ramsey SD, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Vasen HF, Hawk ET, Barrett JC, Freedman AN and Srivastava S. (2004). J. Natl. Cancer Inst., **96**, 261–268.
- Vasen HFA, Mecklin J-P, Khan PM and Lynch HT. (1991). Dis. Colon Rectum, 34, 424–425.
- Vasen HFA, Watson P, Mecklin J-P and Lynch HT. (1999). *Gastroenterology*, **116**, 1453–1456.
- Wahlberg S, Liu T, Lindblom P and Lindblom A. (1999). Genet. Test., 3, 259–264.
- Wang L, Cunningham JM, Winters JL, Guenther JC, French AJ, Boardman LA, Burgart LJ, McDonnell SK, Schaid DJ and Thibodeau SN. (2003). *Cancer Res.*, **63**, 5209–5212.
- Wijnen J, de Leeuw W, Vasen H, van der Klift H, Moller P, Stormorken A, Meijers-Heijboer H, Lindhout D, Menko F, Vossen S, Moslein G, Tops C, Brocker-Vriends A, Wu Y, Hofstra R, Sijmons R, Cornelisse C, Morreau H and Fodde R. (1999). *Nat Genet.*, 23, 142–144.